

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

Quoc Hoang

Department of Foreign Languages, Saigon University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

ABSTRACT: As globalization accelerates, English as a Foreign Language (EFL) education must move beyond structural linguistic proficiency to develop learners' communicative adaptability. This empirical study investigates the impact of a 15-week pedagogical intervention on the intercultural development of 205 Vietnamese EFL majors. Employing an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design for systematic data triangulation, the research utilizes the theoretical framework of the "language learner as a language user." The curriculum integrated cultural linguistics, translation, and literature through experiential activities, including critical incident role-plays, media analysis, and reflective portfolios. Quantitative analysis of pre- and post-intervention questionnaires revealed significant improvements ($p < .001$, Cohen's $d > 1.0$) across core sociocognitive constructs, specifically ethnorelativism, tolerance of ambiguity, and cultural curiosity. Qualitative thematic analysis further mapped the learners' cognitive progression, indicating a transition from initial affective dissonance to the formation of a hybrid identity within an intercultural "Third Space." Observational data showed a notable reduction in inappropriate L1-to-L2 sociopragmatic transfers, demonstrating enhanced mastery of communicative nuances. The findings indicate that embedding experiential praxis into instructional curricula enables learners to interact effectively in diverse global contexts. The study concludes with pedagogical implications for fostering cross-cultural empathy, mutual understanding, and global citizenship.

KEYWORDS: Intercultural communicative competence, Sociopragmatics, Explanatory sequential mixed-methods, Hybrid identity, Vietnamese EFL majors.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary era of rapid digital transformation, human interaction has transcended traditional geographical and linguistic boundaries. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, communication has shifted from mere linguistic exchange to complex intercultural mediation (Baker, 2015; Jackson, 2020). Consequently, traditional foreign language education paradigms, which predominantly emphasize grammatical accuracy and native-like fluency, are increasingly scrutinized for their perceived inadequacy in preparing learners for real-world diversity (Byram, 2021; Kramsch, 2013). Research consistently underscores that linguistic proficiency alone does not guarantee successful interaction; rather, effective and ethical engagement in multicultural settings requires the ability to navigate disparate cultural frameworks, conceptualized as Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC) (Deardorff, 2019; Spitzberg & Changnon, 2009).

The necessity of ICC is particularly evident in contexts where English functions as a lingua franca, serving as a bridge between individuals from diverse socio-cultural backgrounds (Hua, 2018). In such encounters, communication breakdowns often stem not from syntactic errors, but from "pragmatic failure" and a lack of cultural empathy (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013). Language and culture form an inseparable dyad, wherein language symbolizes cultural reality and culture shapes linguistic practice (Risager, 2006). Viewed through the lens of Cultural Linguistics, language is deeply embedded in cultural conceptualizations—such as schemas, categories, and metaphors. Thus, learning a foreign language inherently demands decoding the cultural worldviews it encodes (Sharifian, 2017). Accordingly, developing ICC is no longer a peripheral objective in the classroom; it is an essential literacy for global citizenship (Dervin, 2016; Moeller & Nugent, 2014).

Despite this growing theoretical consensus, a substantial gap remains in the practical application of ICC, particularly in transitional educational contexts like Vietnam. Following significant milestones in international integration—such as the Renovation policy and active participation in the ASEAN Economic Community—Vietnam's language education goals have theoretically aligned with global communicative competence (Tran & Seepho, 2017). In practice, however, traditional grammar-centric pedagogies remain pervasive. While educators recognize the value of ICC, they frequently lack actionable, context-specific frameworks to operationalize intercultural theories into daily classroom instruction (O'Dowd, 2022). Much of the existing literature focuses

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

predominantly on the conceptualization of ICC, offering limited methodological guidance for teachers to actively cultivate these competencies in foreign language learners (Belz, 2007; Hoff, 2014).

To address this critical gap, the central thesis of this article posits that integrating ICC into language education requires a systemic pedagogical shift. Educators must explicitly reconceptualize learners as active "intercultural language users" rather than passive imitators of native speakers (Byram, 2021; Cook, 1999; Council of Europe, 2001), deploying targeted, experiential classroom strategies to bridge the disjuncture between linguistic knowledge and cultural awareness (Byram & Zarate, 1998).

Guided by this thesis, this paper seeks to explore the following research questions:

RQ1: What are the core conceptual elements and underlying pedagogical principles of Intercultural Communicative Competence in modern language education?

RQ2: How does the conceptual shift from "language learner" to "intercultural language user" transform pedagogical approaches?

RQ3: What practical, evidence-based instructional strategies can educators implement to foster ICC and critical cultural awareness in the foreign language classroom?

By addressing these questions, this paper proposes a comprehensive pedagogical framework, offering actionable strategies such as the integration of digital media, literature, panel discussions, and critical incident simulations. Ultimately, this research bridges the divide between intercultural theory and classroom practice, empowering educators to cultivate linguistically competent and culturally sensitive global citizens.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

To construct a structured pedagogical framework for the modern language classroom, this paper synthesizes a multidimensional theoretical foundation. By incorporating the conceptualization of language teaching as an intercultural endeavor, the framework is anchored in four core pillars: the shift towards intercultural competence, the cognitive mechanics of Cultural Linguistics, the negotiation of learner identity, and the foundational principles of experiential pedagogy.

2.1. From communicative to intercultural competence

Historically, the epistemological foundation of language education rested upon the concept of communicative competence (Hymes, 1972). While significant in shifting the focus from rigid grammar translation to functional language use, this early paradigm inadvertently perpetuated "native-speakerism"—an ideology that idealizes the monolingual native speaker as the primary benchmark of linguistic and cultural authority (Holliday, 2018). In the contemporary landscape of global Englishes and translanguaging practices (García & Wei, 2014), maintaining a singular standard is empirically problematic (Canagarajah, 2013). Consequently, the paradigm must shift towards Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC). Byram (2021) provides a multidimensional model that redefines the objective of language learning: cultivating an "intercultural speaker" capable of navigating complex cultural interfaces. This requires moving beyond the lens of the native speaker, a shift necessitated by the globalization of language education (Kumaravadivelu, 2008). The intercultural speaker must engage with and reflect on multiple languages and cultures, positioning the target language not merely as a subject to be mastered, but as a mediating tool for meaning-making (Baker, 2015; Hua, 2018). Deardorff (2006) further conceptualizes this as a continuous process, establishing that effective communication is contingent upon internal empathy and external cultural mediation rather than mere syntactic mimicry (Fantini, 2020).

2.2. Cultural linguistics and conceptualisations

While ICC models provide a structural framework, they often lack a detailed explanation of how culture and language interact within the human mind. To bridge this gap, this framework integrates Cultural Linguistics (Sharifian, 2017), a field predicated on the premise that language, thought, and culture are cognitively intertwined (Palmer, 1996). This paradigm posits that language is embedded in cultural conceptualizations, encompassing schemas, categories, and metaphors, where linguistic features serve as cognitive embodiments of historically constructed worldviews (Sharifian, 2017). When learners acquire a second language, they are engaging in a cognitive remapping rather than simply substituting vocabulary (Risager, 2006). Without explicitly understanding these underlying cultural conceptualizations, learners risk committing "pragmatic failure" by inappropriately mapping their native sociocultural norms onto foreign linguistic structures (Taguchi & Roever, 2020), a phenomenon documented in intercultural pragmatics (Kecskes, 2014). Therefore, embedding Cultural Linguistics into the pedagogical framework ensures that intercultural education addresses the cognitive aspects of language acquisition.

2.3. Identity negotiation in the "Third space"

Understanding the language learner as a dynamic intercultural user requires a re-evaluation of identity. Traditional deficiency models viewed learners as "failed native speakers," whereas modern sociocultural theories position them as active agents who bring their own existing linguistic and cultural frames to the act of learning (Norton, 2013). This agency is closely tied to the learner's "investment" in their evolving identity across different social contexts and power dynamics, affording them opportunities to develop

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

a "personal voice" in the target language (Darvin & Norton, 2015). This complex negotiation occurs within what Bhabha (1994) and Kramsch (2013) define as the "Third Space"—an interstitial territory where learners utilize multiple languages and cultures as tools for learning. Operating in this space requires a "critical interculturality" that rejects binary dichotomies (Pennycook, 2001) and recognizes all cultural identities as fluid (Dervin, 2016). By validating the Third Space, the pedagogy enables learners to express their hybrid identities, mediate conflicts objectively, and ultimately act as critical citizens in an intercultural world (Guilherme, 2002).

2.4. Experiential pedagogical praxis

To translate these theoretical dimensions into actionable language teaching, this framework adopts a set of experiential pedagogical principles that operationalize the intercultural perspective. Drawing upon the theoretical synthesis of Liddicoat and Scarino (2013) and foundational experiential learning models (Kolb, 2014; Phipps & Gonzalez, 2004), classroom praxis must be driven by active construction, meaningful connections, social interaction, reflection, and ethical responsibility. Learning is framed not as passive transmission, but as purposeful engagement where learners create meaning through active interaction and continuous self-reflection (Lantolf, 2000). Because languages and cultures are not acquired in isolation, learners must articulate their starting positions based on existing social memberships and connect new paradigms to what is already known (Risager, 2006). Furthermore, intercultural learning is an interactive act; learners continuously develop their understanding of the relationship between their own cultural framework and that of their interlocutors through social exchange (Vygotsky, 1978). This interactive process is anchored by both cognitive and affective reflection, requiring learners to become metacognitively aware of how they perceive and process cultural diversity during the meaning-making process (Jackson, 2014). Ultimately, this reflective practice fosters ethical responsibility; learners are encouraged to adopt evolving attitudes and values, striving continuously to understand both self and others in the ongoing development of intercultural sensitivity (Borghetti, 2017).

3. METHODOLOGY

Investigating Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC) presents methodological challenges. Because interculturality entails fluid cognitive remapping and internal psychological shifts rather than the mere acquisition of discrete linguistic facts, a single-method approach is often inadequate. This section details a triangulated methodological framework designed to capture both the macroscopic trends and microscopic aspects of the learners' intercultural development.

3.1. Research design

This study was situated within an Action Research (AR) paradigm (Burns, 2009; Kemmis et al., 2014). AR is appropriate for pedagogical interventions as it empowers practitioner-researchers to systematically plan, act, observe, and reflect upon curriculum changes in authentic classroom ecologies. To bridge the empirical gap between theoretical ICC models and practical outcomes, an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design was adopted (Creswell & Clark, 2017). The quantitative phase was implemented first to measure generalizable shifts in students' intercultural sensitivity and attitudes. Subsequently, the qualitative phase was prioritized to explain the nuances behind these statistical shifts—specifically, how learners negotiated hybrid identities within the "Third Space" and why certain pedagogical tasks triggered cognitive dissonance. This integration ensures that the empirical findings are simultaneously statistically reliable and pedagogically meaningful.

3.2. Participants and context

The research was conducted within a tertiary English as a Foreign Language (EFL) context, spanning a 15-week trajectory during the first semester of the 2025–2026 academic year. Employing purposive sampling (Patton, 2014), 205 participants ($N = 205$) were recruited. All participants were undergraduate students majoring in English Language at several universities in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Selecting English majors in this dynamic hub for international exchange ensured that learners possessed a requisite linguistic foundation (approximately CEFR B2) and a pressing need to develop intercultural competence for future globalized careers. Furthermore, all participants shared a native language (L1 Vietnamese) and a homogenous national cultural background. This shared L1 established a stable baseline for observing cognitive remapping processes when the cohort was exposed to the diverse cultural conceptualizations of the target language (L2). Prior to data collection, ethical protocols were observed; all participants provided written informed consent, guaranteeing anonymity and the right to withdraw from the study without academic penalty.

3.3. Data collection

To capture the multidimensional constructs of the *savoirs* described by Byram (2021) across a large cohort, data collection relied on a triangulated toolkit comprising both psychometric and narrative instruments. A customized Intercultural Competence Questionnaire (ICQ) was administered to all 205 participants at the pre-intervention (Week 1) and post-intervention (Week 15) stages. The ICQ was synthesized from validated multinational assessment frameworks, adapting items from Fantini (2018) and the Intercultural Development Inventory. Utilizing a 5-point Likert scale, the instrument quantified three core constructs:

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

ethnorelativism, tolerance of ambiguity, and intrinsic cultural curiosity. Because critical cultural awareness manifests implicitly through discourse, qualitative tools formed a central component of the data corpus. Throughout the 15-week intervention, participants maintained structured digital portfolios, as reflective writing acts as a metacognitive mirror, compelling learners to process cultural dissonance and document evolving perspectives (Deardorff, 2006; Moon, 2006). Post-intervention, a purposeful subsample of 20 participants—selected based on variance in ICQ scores to ensure data saturation—participated in in-depth, semi-structured interviews. This format allowed for probing into specific "critical incidents" experienced during intercultural tasks, yielding narratives regarding identity negotiation (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2009).

3.4. Data analysis

The analytical phase was guided by the principle of methodological triangulation (Denzin, 2009), functioning to cross-validate the quantitative and qualitative datasets. Data from the pre- and post-ICQ administrations ($N = 205$) were coded and processed using IBM SPSS Statistics. Following tests for normal distribution, descriptive statistics (means and standard deviations) were calculated. Subsequently, inferential statistics—specifically paired-samples t-tests—were executed to determine the statistical significance and effect size (Cohen's d) of the participants' intercultural development (Field, 2024). The qualitative corpus, encompassing portfolio entries and verbatim interview transcripts, underwent thematic analysis. Guided by the established six-phase framework by Braun and Clarke (2006), the process moved iteratively from familiarization and semantic coding to the abstraction of latent themes. Data management was facilitated by NVivo software. Drawing upon the coding taxonomy of Saldaña (2021), "values coding" and "emotion coding" were utilized to systematically trace affective and ideological transformations. This analysis illuminated the moments learners transitioned from ethnocentric rigidity to operating within the hybrid Third Space.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

This section synthesizes the empirical data collected from the 205 participants with the theoretical paradigms outlined in Sections 2 and 3. By triangulating quantitative survey results with qualitative thematic analysis and classroom observations, the discussion elucidates how the implementation of an intercultural perspective shifted the learners' trajectories from passive language receivers to active intercultural users.

4.1. Quantitative shifts in intercultural attitudes

To determine the macro-level efficacy of the 15-week intervention, a paired-samples t-test was conducted on the pre- and post-intervention data from the Intercultural Competence Questionnaire (ICQ). This analysis addresses RQ1 regarding the core elements of ICC and RQ2 concerning the pedagogical shift toward the "intercultural language user." As posited by Byram (2021), understanding the learner as a language user requires moving beyond the lens of native-speakerism to evaluate how they navigate complex, multicultural spaces. As shown in Table 1, the statistical results indicate significant increases across all measured constructs ($p < .001$) with large effect sizes (Cohen's $d > 1.0$). These shifts reflect the successful operationalization of the pedagogical principles of reflection and responsibility (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013).

Table 1. Paired-samples t-test results for intercultural competence constructs ($N = 205$)

Construct	Pre-test M (SD)	Post-test M (SD)	t(204)	p	Cohen's d
Ethnorelativism	2.85 (0.62)	4.12 (0.55)	18.45	< .001	1.15
Tolerance of Ambiguity	2.60 (0.75)	3.88 (0.61)	15.32	< .001	1.08
Cultural Curiosity	3.15 (0.58)	4.45 (0.48)	20.14	< .001	1.25

Note. M = Mean; SD = Standard Deviation. All constructs measured on a 5-point Likert scale.

The data provide empirical evidence for the conceptual shift investigated in **RQ2**. The notable increase in "Tolerance of Ambiguity" (from a mean of 2.60 to 3.88) is particularly relevant, demonstrating that learners developed affective responsibility. In alignment with Fantini (2020), participants learned to strive continuously to understand the self and others without being hindered by unfamiliar cultural variables. This indicates a transition from viewing English solely as an academic subject to utilizing it as a dynamic mediating tool for active meaning-making (Baker, 2015).

Furthermore, the significant rise in "Ethnorelativism" ($d = 1.15$) suggests that the pedagogical principles of active construction and social interaction (Lantolf, 2000) allowed students to dismantle rigid cultural hierarchies. By acknowledging the target language as embedded in cultural conceptualizations (Sharifian, 2017), learners moved toward the "Third Space" (Kramsch, 2013), validating their own hybrid identities while engaging objectively with foreign worldviews. These quantitative gains establish a robust foundation for examining the qualitative nuances of the learners' cognitive and identity-based transformations.

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

4.2. Qualitative themes in identity negotiation

While the quantitative data highlighted attitudinal shifts, the qualitative narratives provide insights into the psychological mechanisms of this transformation. Following the six-phase framework of reflexive thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006), data from 20 reflective portfolios and interviews were coded using NVivo. The process moved iteratively from semantic coding to the abstraction of latent themes, ensuring qualitative reliability. As shown in Table 2, the analysis identified three dominant themes.

Table 2. Frequencies of dominant qualitative themes extracted (n = 20)

Theme	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	Participant Prevalence (%)
Theme 1: Initial cultural dissonance & vulnerability	185	26.3	95.0
Theme 2: Rejection of native-speakerism	142	20.2	80.0
Theme 3: Hybrid identity construction (Third Space)	210	29.9	100.0

As posited in Section 2.1, engaging with a new language can position the learner in problematic ways. Theme 1 (Initial cultural dissonance and vulnerability) supports this, as 95% of the subsample reported experiencing vulnerability when their L1 frameworks clashed with target-language norms. However, guided by the pedagogical principle of making connections (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013), this dissonance served as a productive tool. Learners articulated their starting positions and connected new L2 concepts to their existing Vietnamese cultural frames.

This reflective process culminated in Theme 3 (Hybrid identity construction), observed across the entire qualitative cohort (100%). Through active construction (Lantolf, 2000), students purposefully engaged in interpreting meaning rather than passively absorbing it. This directly addresses RQ2, illustrating how the shift from "learner" to "intercultural user" empowers agency. As one participant (Student A, Week 14 Portfolio) noted:

"I used to feel inadequate because my English didn't sound 'American' and my thoughts were deeply Vietnamese. Now, I realize that I can use English to express my Vietnamese identity on a global stage. I don't have to choose one over the other."

This excerpt illustrates the learner stepping into the intercultural "Third Space" (Kramsch, 2013), utilizing both their L1 background and L2 skills as tools to interpret variable contexts.

4.3. Pragmatic and linguistic shifts

The empirical data revealed practical improvements when theoretical linguistics and translation principles were applied to classroom observations, addressing RQ3 regarding evidence-based strategies. A challenge for Vietnamese EFL learners is the hierarchical system rooted in Confucian traditions, which often misaligns with the egalitarian pragmatic norms of English. As discussed in Section 2.2, teaching semantics and pragmatics requires learners to compare L2 linguistic elements with their native language (Sharifian, 2017). As shown in Table 3, the reduction in pragmatic errors—such as the misuse of power distance markers (reduced by 82.8%)—indicates a growing comparative linguistic awareness.

Table 3. Reductions in sociopragmatic and translation errors observed in classroom tasks

Error category	Pre-intervention (f)	Post-intervention (f)	Reduction (%)
Inappropriate status/power distance markers	87	15	82.8
Translation bias / L1 politeness formulas	112	24	78.6
Misinterpretation of L2 directness	95	18	81.1

Furthermore, learners developed an awareness of socio-cultural contexts, reducing translation biases and direct L1-to-L2 politeness transfers (reduced by 78.6%). This evidences that a critical understanding of one's own culture alongside the target culture, as emphasized by Taguchi and Roever (2020), cultivates communicative precision and cultural tolerance.

4.4. Integrative pedagogical impact

The outcomes of the intervention can be attributed to the integrative methodologies applied in the classroom, providing a concrete answer to RQ3. Rather than teaching language components in isolation, the curriculum utilized lead-in brainstorming activities that allowed students to predict perspectives based on their personal backgrounds before engaging with authentic L2 texts. Follow-up activities, such as simulated role-plays with diverse cultural partners, provided environments for social interaction (Vygotsky, 1978). Moreover, the integration of foreign literature equipped students with knowledge of international etiquette and behavioral norms without stereotyping. By guiding students to compare universal values with socio-historically specific perspectives, the pedagogical approach addressed ethnocentrism. The triangulated data indicates that when language, literature, linguistics, and translation are taught through an intercultural lens, the EFL classroom functions as a "Third Space" for developing global citizenship.

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

5. CONCLUSION AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1. Conclusion and theoretical contributions

The findings of this study indicate that integrating intercultural teaching is an essential component of contemporary language education. The triangulated quantitative and qualitative data ($N = 205$) demonstrate that when intercultural competence is systematically embedded into the EFL curriculum, learners exhibit a measurable transition from ethnocentric perspectives to critical ethnorelativism. Theoretically, this study contributes to the existing literature by empirically validating the integration of the Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC) framework by Byram (2021) and the concept of the "Third Space" by Kramsch (2013) within a Confucian-heritage context. It provides a triangulated methodological model that maps the cognitive mechanisms of identity negotiation, demonstrating how the intersection of pragmatics, translation, and literature functions as a catalyst for intercultural development. By decentering native-speakerism, the research offers a localized theoretical understanding of how EFL learners appropriate the target language to construct hybrid identities.

5.2. Practical pedagogical implications

To cultivate global citizenship, foreign language curricula should operationalize the intercultural perspective through targeted, actionable learning activities. Based on the empirical outcomes, the following practical implications are proposed for classroom application:

Critical media analysis: Educators should utilize authentic media (e.g., news broadcasts, literature) to deconstruct cultural narratives. Rather than focusing solely on linguistic decoding, teachers can design tasks where students analyze authors' socio-political contexts and underlying biases, thereby systematically decentering their L1 frameworks (Sharifian, 2017).

Pragmatic and critical incident simulations: Moving beyond standard communicative role-plays, instructors should design scenarios based on authentic pragmatic failures or cultural misunderstandings. These simulations require learners to adopt an intercultural stance, practicing the interpretation and negotiation of meaning to resolve communication breakdowns objectively (Taguchi & Roever, 2020).

Reflexive portfolio integration: To render the cognitive remapping process visible, curricula should incorporate structured digital portfolios. As suggested by Moon (2006), teachers can utilize these tools to prompt continuous metacognitive reflection, allowing students to document initial cultural perceptions, track cognitive dissonance, and articulate evolving conceptual shifts.

5.3. Limitations and future research agenda

While the study provides comprehensive insights into intercultural development, several avenues for future research are identified. First, the 15-week intervention period captures short-term cognitive shifts; longitudinal studies spanning multiple academic years are necessary to evaluate the sustainability of these intercultural attitudes. Second, as the participant cohort possessed a homogenous L1 background (Vietnamese), future research should replicate this methodological framework in diverse, multilingual contexts to assess its broader generalizability. Finally, subsequent studies could investigate the impact of emerging digital ecologies—such as telecollaboration, virtual exchange programs, and AI-mediated communication—on the negotiation of hybrid identities and the cultivation of intercultural competence in globalized digital environments (O'Dowd, 2022).

REFERENCES

- 1) Baker, W. (2015). *Culture and identity through English as a lingua franca: Rethinking concepts and goals in intercultural communication* (Vol. 8). Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.
- 2) Belz, J. A. (2007). The development of intercultural communicative competence in telecollaborative partnerships. *Languages for Intercultural Communication and Education*, 15, 127.
- 3) Bhabha, H. K. (1994). The postcolonial and the postmodern: The question of agency. *The location of culture*, 171-197.
- 4) Borghetti, C. (2017). Is there really a need for assessing intercultural competence? Some ethical issues. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 17(2), 1-15.
- 5) Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- 6) Burns, A. (2009). *Doing action research in English language teaching: A guide for practitioners*. Routledge.
- 7) Byram, M. (2021). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence: Revisited* (2nd ed.). Multilingual Matters. <https://doi.org/10.21832/9781800410251>
- 8) Byram, M., & Zarate, G. (1998). Définitions, objectifs et évaluation de la compétence socioculturelle. *Français dans le monde. Recherches et applications*, (24), 70-96.
- 9) Canagarajah, S. (Ed.). (2013). *Literacy as Translingual Practice: Between Communities and Classrooms* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203120293>

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

- 10) Cook, V. (1999). Going beyond the native speaker in language teaching. *TESOL quarterly*, 33(2), 185-209. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587717>
- 11) Council of Europe. Council for Cultural Co-operation. Education Committee. Modern Languages Division. (2001). *Common European framework of reference for languages: Learning, teaching, assessment*. Cambridge University Press.
- 12) Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Sage publications.
- 13) Darvin, R., & Norton, B. (2015). Identity and a model of investment in applied linguistics. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, 35, 36-56.
- 14) Deardorff, D. K. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Journal of studies in international education*, 10(3), 241-266.
- 15) Deardorff, D.K. (2019). *Manual for Developing Intercultural Competencies: Story Circles* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429244612>
- 16) Denzin, N.K. (2009). *The Research Act: A Theoretical Introduction to Sociological Methods* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315134543>
- 17) Dervin, F. (2016). *Interculturality in education: A theoretical and methodological toolbox*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-54544-2>
- 18) Fantini, A.E. (2018). *Intercultural Communicative Competence in Educational Exchange: A Multinational Perspective* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351251747>
- 19) Fantini, A. E. (2020). Reconceptualizing intercultural communicative competence: A multinational perspective. *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 15(1), 52-61.
- 20) Field, A. (2024). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. Sage Publications.
- 21) García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137385765>
- 22) Guilherme, M. (2002). Chapter 5. Preparing Critical Citizens and Educators for an Intercultural World. In *Critical Citizens for an Intercultural World: Foreign Language Education as Cultural Politics* (pp. 206-226). Bristol, Blue Ridge Summit: Multilingual Matters. <https://doi.org/10.21832/9781853596117-009>
- 23) Hoff, H. E. (2014). A critical discussion of Byram's model of intercultural communicative competence in the light of bildung theories. *Intercultural Education*, 25(6), 508–517. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14675986.2014.992112>
- 24) Holliday, A. (2018). *Understanding intercultural communication: Negotiating a grammar of culture*. Routledge.
- 25) Hua, Z. (2018). *Exploring Intercultural Communication: Language in Action* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315159010>
- 26) Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence in pride. *JB-J. Holmes (ur.)*, 269-393.
- 27) Jackson, J. (2014). *Introducing Language and Intercultural Communication* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315848938>
- 28) Jackson, J. (Ed.). (2020). *The Routledge Handbook of Language and Intercultural Communication* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003036210>
- 29) Kecskes, I. (2014). *Intercultural pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
- 30) Kemmis, S., McTaggart, R., & Nixon, R. (2014). *The action research planner: Doing critical participatory action research*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-4560-67-2>
- 31) Kolb, D. A. (2014). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. FT press.
- 32) Kramsch, C. (2013). Culture in foreign language teaching. *Iranian journal of language teaching research*, 1(1), 57-78.
- 33) Kumaravadivelu, B. (2008). *Cultural globalization and language education*. Yale University Press.
- 34) Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2009). *Interviews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing*. Sage.
- 35) Lantolf, J. P. (Ed.). (2000). *Sociocultural theory and second language learning* (Vol. 78, No. 4). Oxford University Press.
- 36) Liddicoat, A. J., & Scarino, A. (2013). *Intercultural language teaching and learning*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118482070>
- 37) Moeller, A. J., & Nugent, K. (2014). Building intercultural competence in the language classroom. In S. Dhonau (Ed.), *Unlocking the gateway to communication* (pp. 1–18). Central States Conference on the Teaching of Foreign Languages.
- 38) Moon, J. A. (2006). *Learning journals: A handbook for reflective practice and professional development*. Routledge.
- 39) Norton, B. (2013). *Identity and language learning: Extending the conversation*. Multilingual matters.
- 40) O'Dowd, R. (2022). *Internationalising higher education and the role of virtual exchange*. Routledge.
- 41) Palmer, G. B. (1996). *Toward a theory of cultural linguistics*. University of Texas Press.
- 42) Patton, M. Q. (2014). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice*. Sage publications.

Intercultural Communicative Competence in Language Education: A Pedagogical Framework for the Era of Globalization

- 43) Pennycook, A. (2001). *Critical Applied Linguistics: A Critical Introduction* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410600790>
- 44) Phipps, A., & Gonzalez, M. (2004). *Modern languages: Learning and teaching in an intercultural field*. SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446221419>
- 45) Risager, K. (2006). *Language and culture: Global flows and local complexity*. Multilingual Matters.
- 46) Saldaña, J. (2021). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- 47) Sharifian, F. (2017). *Cultural linguistics: Cultural conceptualisations and language*. John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/clscc.8>
- 48) Spitzberg, B. H., & Changnon, G. (2009). Conceptualizing intercultural competence. *The SAGE handbook of intercultural competence*, 2-52. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781071872987.n1>
- 49) Taguchi, N., & Roever, C. (2020). *Second language pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
- 50) Tran, T. Q., & Seepho, S. (2017). Intercultural language education: Supportive factors and constraints on EFL Learners' intercultural communicative competence development. *Suranaree Journal of Social Science*, 11(1), 1-28.
- 51) Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (Vol. 86). Harvard University Press.